Determination of Crop Coefficient for Capsicum (Capsicum annumm L.) in Eastern Himalayan Region through Field Lysimeter
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ABSTRACT: A Crop coefficient (k_c) was determined for Capsicum annumm L. (hot pepper) with the help of UMS-GmbH cylindrical field lysimeter of 30 cm diameter and 120 cm deep and Penman-Monteith FAO-56 model. Penman-Monteith model is the universally adopted standard model for estimation of reference evapo-transpiration (ET_o) based on local weather parameters. Eight other models viz. Modified Penman Method, Hargreaves equation, Samini Hargreaves equation, Thorthwaite equation, Solar Radiation Method, Net Radiation Method, Blaney-Cridle Method and Radiation Method were also used for estimation of ET_o and compared with Penman-Monteith model to find out the accuracy of prediction with limited weather parameters. Scatter plot and paired t-test were used for comparison. Out of all these models, Blaney-Cridle Method was found to yield similar results as given by Penman-Monteith model. The values of crop evapo-transpiration (ET_c) were varying from 1.11 mm d^{-1} to 3.12 mm d^{-1}. k_c is the ratio of ET_c to ET_o. The highest k_c value was obtained during the maximum vegetative growth in 8th week after transplantation. The crop-coefficients for three growth stages viz. initial, mid and maturity were found to be 0.33, 0.64 and 0.30, respectively.
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Capsicum annumm L. (hot pepper) is a high value crop growing all over the world. Irrigation is a standard practice in hot pepper production (Wein, 1998). Water requirement of pepper varies from 600 mm to 1250 mm per growth cycle and it depends on region, climate and variety (Doorenbos and Kassam, 1979). The water requirement can be estimated by using different models from simple empirical equations to complex models. Some mechanistic models are also available to estimate the water requirement by utilizing soil, plant and climatic data. Mechanistic models require crop-specific growth parameters, which are not readily available for all crops and conditions (Hodges & Ritchie, 1991; Annandale et al., 1999) and hence difficult to use at field levels.

Evapo-transpiration (ET) is a representation of the evaporation demand of atmosphere, independent of crop growth and management factors (Allen et al., 1998). Evapo-transpiration is the simultaneous process of transfer of water to the atmosphere by transpiration and evaporation in a soil system (Allen et al., 1998; Mavi and Tupper, 2004). It is an important parameter for climatological and hydrological studies, as well as for irrigation planning and management (Sentelas et al., 2010) as a major component of the agriculture water budget. Since it is difficult to separate evaporation and transpiration during crop growth, they are often expressed in one term ET.

Allen et al. (2005a) reported that the FAO Penman-Monteith (FAO PM) method had been considered as a universal standard to estimate ET called as reference ET (ET_r) and it incorporates physiological and aerodynamic parameters at location specific observation (Allen et al., 1990; Allen and Pruitt, 1991; Lopez-Urrea et al., 2006). It provides consistent ET_r in many regions and climate. This method has been accepted worldwide as a good estimator and comparable to other methods especially for daily computations (e.g. Pereira and Pruitt, 2004; Cai et al., 2007; Stokle et al., 2004; Harmsen, 2003; Mohan and Arumugam, 1996; Izensifu et al., 2003; Li et al., 2003; Tyagi et al., 2000; Chiew et al., 1995). In other words, transpiration slowly supplants evaporation as Crop Evapo-transpiration (ET_c) increases. Soil water availability encourages plant growth and subsequent increases in ET_o. However, deficient soil water can induce plant wilting or death (Brady, 1990).

Study on ET_o was conducted by Tyagi et al. (2000) on rice (monsoon) using regression statistics between Penman-Monteith and different methods where there was better agreement between Penman Monteith and FAO Blaney-Cridle (FB-C) along with FAO-24 (FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 24) corrected Penman followed by other methods and the higher value was estimate by FAO-24 corrected Penman. Another study was done on high lands of Eastern Ghats of Orissa, where comparison of some empirical methods for estimation of ET_o was conducted to evaluate the best method for estimation of ET_o. Results indicated that FAO-56 (FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 56) was best in estimation of ET_o throughout the year and Hargreaves equation being more consistent among temperature based equations (Lenka et al., 2009).

The actual crop water use depends on climatic factors, crop type and crop growth stage. While ET_o provides the climatic influence on crop water use, the effect of crop type and management is addressed by ET_c. Factors affecting ET_c such as ground cover, canopy properties and aerodynamic resistance for a crop are different from the factors affecting reference crop (grass or alfalfa); therefore, ET differs from ET_o. The characteristics that distinguish field crops from the reference crop are integrated into a crop factor or crop coefficient (kc) (Allen et al., 1998; Allen et al., 2000). kc is used to determine the actual water use for any crop in conjunction with ET_o.
ET_c = k_c X ET_o

In general, it is difficult to determine the ET_c as a residual from water balance computation, so k_c based approach is primary for predicting water consumption from irrigation projects (Burt et al., 1997; Molden and Sakhthivadivel 1999; Droegers and Bastiaanssen 2002).

Crop coefficients (k_c) are properties of plants used in predicting evapo-transpiration (ET). It varies by crop, stage of growth of the crop, and by some cultural practices. Crop coefficients are crop and crop variety specific and also location specific. Doorenbos and Pruitt (1977) recommended the values of crop coefficients at different stages of growth i.e. initial, crop development, mid season and maturity under different RH and Wind Speed conditions. The k_c values recommended by FAO-24 (Doorenbos and Pruitt, 1977) were used in worldwide to estimate actual evapo-transpiration based on the local weather parameters in absence of derived crop coefficients. But if crop duration and morphology do not match, the actual values differ considerably from the tabulated values. Addition of more crops were done in the list of FAO-56 by Allen et al. (1998). They recommended the values for broad climatic conditions indicating maximum height of the crops for use of specific sets of crop coefficients.

The initial period is defined in FAO-24 (Doorenbos and Pruitt 1977) and in FAO-56 (Allen et al., 1998) for annual crops as the period between the planting date and the date of approximately 10% ground cover. This period represents conditions when the soil is effectively bare. If the soil surface is wet during this period, the evaporation rate may be relatively large. As the soil surface dries, hydraulic conditions change and evaporation decreases. The mean crop coefficients (k_c) during this period are termed as the crop coefficient for the initial period k_c ini. A value for k_c ini is required for constructing a “singular” crop coefficient curve for a growing season that incorporates impacts of wetting frequency on k_c. FAO-56 included a “dual” k_c method in addition to the singular method that simulates impacts of evaporation separately (Allen et al., 2005b). However, the singular k_c method is often applied for general planning studies and regional analyses and thus, accurate and representative k_c ini values are needed.

kc as a function of time does not take into account environmental and management factors that influence the rate of canopy development (Grattan et al., 1998). Therefore, most researchers have reported k_c as a function of days after transplanting (DAT) which helps to reference k_c on crop development stage (Allen et al., 1998; Tyagi et al., 2000; Kashyap and Panda, 2001; Sepashkah and Andam, 2001).

Allen et al. (1998) recommended the evaluation of crop coefficient values in local climate conditions by observed data using lysimeter when the accuracy is highly concerned. Shab and Edling (2000) used the water balance equation in paddy field and Penman-Monteith equation for the calculation of ET, and estimated the value of k_c for paddy rice in Louisiana to be 1.39, 1.51 and 1.43 for initial, mid-season and late season stage, respectively.

Vu et al. (2005) reported that the estimation of cumulative ET_c in paddy rice by FAO-56 using the recommended k_c value resulted in estimation error up to 17% from the observed values. Also, ET_c may exhibit considerable variability between rice varieties. The recommended values of k_c ini in FAO-56 method are appropriate if reliable atmospheric data are available. However, the k_c -mid was found to be the sensitive parameter affecting ET_c estimation and the careful calibration according to the regional conditions and varieties seemed to be required for the accurate prediction. Considering the effect of random errors, FAO-56 method is more reliable when calculating cumulative ET_c longer than 7 days of period. Sahoo et al. (2009) reported that the average crop co-efficient values for 3 stages of sunflower namely for growing stage, mid-stage and late stage were found to be 0.7, 1.1 and 0.77 and the crop-evapotranspiration for these 3 stages were 2.62, 3.53 and 3.01 mm d^-1, respectively. The experiment was conducted at the research farm of CSWRCIT, Research Centre, Udhagamandalam, Tamil Nadu, India using weighing type-lysimeter. The area receives an annual rainfall of 1228 mm and the mean monthly maximum and minimum temperatures are 22.1°C and 8.5°C occurring in April and January, respectively. Reference crop evapotranspiration (ET_c) for the growing period was worked out by FAO-Penman-Monteith (FAO 56-PM) equation using daily meteorological data.

Materials and Methods

Experimental site
The experiment was conducted during 2013 and 2014 growing seasons at a 100 m² experimental farm located at Central Agricultural University, Barapani, Meghalaya (25.680 N latitude 91.930 E longitude, 951 m above mean sea level). The soil at the experimental area is sandy loam to clay loam (texture with 62.9% sand, 21.6% clay, and 15.2% silt) with 1.35 (g cm^-3) and slightly acidic in nature. The minimum and maximum temperatures ranges from 3°C to 14°C and 28°C to 33°C, respectively with average annual precipitation of 2000 mm.

Table 1 : Soil chemical properties of experimental field

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>OC %</th>
<th>CEC</th>
<th>Exchangeable acidity</th>
<th>N (kg/ha)</th>
<th>P (kg/ha)</th>
<th>K (kg/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>257.1</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>155.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Moisture characteristics of soil
The moisture characteristics of the soil in the experimental site was determined with the help of Pressure Plate Apparatus in order to ascertain the water holding capacity and soil moisture at field capacity level. Soil moisture at different suctions and at different depth of soil has been given in the Table 2. The moisture content of the soil varies from 12 to 44% at different suction. The soil moisture characteristics curve is also given in Figure 1.
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**Description of Weather station, Lysimeter and Tensiometer**

An automatic weather station (Davis Vintage Pro-2) was installed within the area for collecting real time weather data. The standard weather data (rainfall; maximum and minimum temperature, morning and afternoon RH, wind speed and sun shine hours) were collected for the experiment at daily intervals. The operation of the lysimeter and weather station was automatic and data were allowed to be stored in the data logger. Two weighing type of lysimeters (UMS-GmbH) were installed within crop area of the experimental field. Lysimeter isolates a volume of soil to a given depth and includes a percolating water sampling system at its bottom. The UMS-GmbH lysimeters consists of a metallic cylinder which is inserted into the soil by cutting or pressign. Once the whole cylinder is inserted, entire soil column is lifted and the bottom of the cylinder is sealed with a cover fixed with a ceramic plate. Then the lysimeter placed on a sensitive load cell. Generally different dimensions of lysimeters are available for various research works. Present lysimeter is a cylindrical lysimeter with 30 cm diameter and 120 cm soil column inserted in it. The soil is not disturbed across the profile only except negligible shearing along the cutting plane of the lysimeter wall. Five moisture sensors (EC5), Tensiometer (T4) and vacuum cup (SK20) were fixed on the wall of the lysimeter at different depth (10 cm, 30 cm, 55 cm, 80cm and 115 cm) for collecting leachate under suction. EC5 measures dielectric constant of the soil in order to find the volumetric water content. T4 Tensiometer is a precision tensiometer developed for outdoor monitoring works. Here only ceramic cup is filled with water for highest accuracy. VS Pro Vacuum system is also fitted to create constant vacuum condition at suction of -400 hPa to drain our excess water from the soil profile. SK20 vacuum cup is a simple ceramic cup with removable shaft. It is mainly suitable for continuous and discontinuous extraction. All the sensors including the load cell is connected to a data logger for continuous data collection at pre-determined interval. The gravitational water or the leachate is taken out through the vacuum cups and collected in the bottles kept in a buried chamber. The ceramic plate at the bottom of lysimeter is also connected to the vacuum pump to collect the excess water beyond field capacity. The lysimeter cylinder fitted with all the sensors and vacuum cups then inserted in a PVC casing and buried in the field.

**Crop coefficient**

Derivation of crop coefficient for capiscum was carried out by two steps.

Firstly, estimation of reference evapo-transpiration (ET\textsubscript{0}) by nine different methods including Penman Monteith method (Allen et al., 1998) as a standard model was done using real time weather data viz. Maximum & Minimum temperature, Relative Humidity, Wind Speed and Net Radiation as collected in the Automatic Weather Station installed in the field. Eight other popular methods (Modified Penman Method, Hargreaves equation, Samini Hargreaves equation, Thorthwaite equation, Solar Radiation Method, Net Radiation Method, Blaney-Criddle Method and Radiation Method) were used for estimation of ET\textsubscript{0} and compared with Penman Monteith Method which was considered as standard method. Statistical tools such as scatter plots and paired t test were used to assess applicability of these methods in any situation where all the weather parameters may not be available. The FAO Penman-Monteith equation was used for ET\textsubscript{0} estimation (as given in FAO-56, Eq.1):-

\[
ET_0 = \frac{0.408 \Delta (Rn - G) + \gamma \left( \frac{900}{T + 273} \right) \cdot u_2 (e_s - e_a)}{\Delta + \gamma (1 + 0.34u_2)} \]

Where,
- \(ET_0\) Reference evapo-transpiration [mm d\textsuperscript{-1}],
- \(Rn\) Net radiation at the crop surface [MJ m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1}],
- \(G\) Soil heat flux density [MJ m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1}],
- \(T\) Mean daily air temperature at 1 m height [°C],
- \(u_2\) Wind speed at 2 m height [ms\textsuperscript{-1}],
- \(e_s\) Saturation vapour pressure [kPa],
- \(e_a\) Actual vapour pressure [kPa],
- \((e_s - e_a)\) Saturation vapour pressure deficit [kPa],
- \(\Delta\) Slope vapour pressure [kPa °C\textsuperscript{-1}],
- \(\gamma\) Psychrometric constant [kPa °C\textsuperscript{-1}].

**Table 2 : Soil moisture at different suction as determined with pressure plate apparatus**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>0.33 bar</th>
<th>0.5 bar</th>
<th>1 bar</th>
<th>2 bar</th>
<th>4 bar</th>
<th>6 bar</th>
<th>10 bar</th>
<th>12 bar</th>
<th>15 bar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-15</td>
<td>22.58</td>
<td>20.91</td>
<td>18.41</td>
<td>17.23</td>
<td>16.03</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>14.11</td>
<td>12.71</td>
<td>7.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-30</td>
<td>33.98</td>
<td>30.21</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>25.69</td>
<td>23.83</td>
<td>20.88</td>
<td>18.38</td>
<td>16.32</td>
<td>9.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-60</td>
<td>31.47</td>
<td>28.76</td>
<td>27.08</td>
<td>25.53</td>
<td>22.51</td>
<td>19.71</td>
<td>17.52</td>
<td>15.59</td>
<td>8.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1 : Soil moisture characteristics curve of the experimental site**

The experiment was conducted during 2013 and 2014 growing seasons at a 100 m\textsuperscript{2} experimental farm located at Central Agricultural University, Barapani, Meghalaya (25.680 N 92.220 E). The experimental site was determined with the help of Pressure Plate Apparatus (PPA) and estimated the value of k\textsubscript{c} -ini is required for constructing a “singular” crop coefficient for use of specific sets of crop coefficients. Allen et al., (1998) recommended the values for broad varieties seemed to be required for the accurate prediction. Considering the effect of random errors, FAO-56 method is careful calibration according to the regional conditions and site specific characteristics. The moisture characteristics of soil (as given in FAO-56, Eq.1):-

\[
ET_0 = \frac{0.408 \Delta (Rn - G) + \gamma \left( \frac{900}{T + 273} \right) \cdot u_2 (e_s - e_a)}{\Delta + \gamma (1 + 0.34u_2)} \]

Where,
- \(ET_0\) Reference evapo-transpiration [mm d\textsuperscript{-1}],
- \(Rn\) Net radiation at the crop surface [MJ m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1}],
- \(G\) Soil heat flux density [MJ m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1}],
- \(T\) Mean daily air temperature at 1 m height [°C],
- \(u_2\) Wind speed at 2 m height [ms\textsuperscript{-1}],
- \(e_s\) Saturation vapour pressure [kPa],
- \(e_a\) Actual vapour pressure [kPa],
- \((e_s - e_a)\) Saturation vapour pressure deficit [kPa],
- \(\Delta\) Slope vapour pressure [kPa °C\textsuperscript{-1}],
- \(\gamma\) Psychrometric constant [kPa °C\textsuperscript{-1}].
The actual evapo-transpiration (ET) was then calculated from the soil moisture value from lysimeter as recorded with EC5 sensors and load cell data taken on daily basis using water balance approach. The ratio between the actual evapo-transpiration (ET) to the reference evapo-transpiration (ET0) gave the Crop Coefficient (kc).

\[ k_c = \frac{E_T}{E_T^0} \]

**Table 3 : Calculated average weekly ET0 for capsicum**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>PMM</th>
<th>MPM</th>
<th>HE</th>
<th>SHE</th>
<th>TE</th>
<th>SRM</th>
<th>NRM</th>
<th>BCM</th>
<th>RM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15.10.13</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>9.26</td>
<td>8.47</td>
<td>11.68</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>13.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.10.13</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>7.58</td>
<td>11.68</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>12.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.10.13</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>11.68</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>12.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.11.13</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>21.44</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.11.13</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>27.68</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>9.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.11.13</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>27.68</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>9.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.11.13</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>27.68</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>10.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.12.13</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>23.08</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>10.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.12.13</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>19.73</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>9.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.12.13</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>19.73</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>7.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.12.13</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>19.73</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>7.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.13</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>19.73</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>7.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and less than 3 mm d⁻¹ during 5th to 12th WAT and 3.19 mm d⁻¹ during 12th WAT. In the entire crop growth period, total ET0 loss amounts 249.30 mm. Variation in ET0 loss was influenced by the three most important weather variables namely net radiation received, wind speed and mean air temperature.

**Results and Discussion**

**Reference Evapo-transpiration**

The calculated average weekly reference evapo-transpiration by nine different methods was given in Table 3. Daily trend of estimated ET0 reflected a wide range from 2.06 mm to 4.75 mm (Figure 2) by Penman Monteith method with a mean value of 2.96 mm. Weekly average ET0 of 3.5 mm d⁻¹ was observed during 1st four Weeks After Transplanting (WAT) and Blaney-Criddle Method where the minimum and average values of ET0 were 2.50 mm d⁻¹, 4.63 mm d⁻¹ and 3.19 mm d⁻¹ during 12th WAT. In the entire crop growth period, Hargreaves Samini method consistently overestimated by as much as 20% giving the worst estimates among all other tested methods (Alexandris et al., 2002), Temesgen et al. (1999) indicated similar behavior of Hargreaves equation under humid tropical climate during rabi season. The paired t-statistics could be inferred that with the availability of temperature and radiation data in this hilly region Blaney-Cridle and Net solar radiation methods followed the reference evapo-transpiration calculated by different methods.

The linear regression statistics as obtained from scatter plots revealed that Modified Penman, Solar Radiation method, Net Radiation method, Balney Criddle method and Radiation methods had given statistically significant R² values with different slopes and intercepts given in Table 4. From October 9th to December 31st (rabi season), better crop evapo-transpiration took place right from the first week after transplanting till the end of the plant growth period. Hargreaves Samini method consistently yielded ET0 values much higher than that obtained with Penman-Monteith method.

In comparison to the results of Penman-Monteith methods, closer values were obtained through Net Radiation method and Blaney-Criddle Method where the minimum and maximum values of ET0 were 2.50 mm d⁻¹, 4.63 mm d⁻¹ and 3.20 mm d⁻¹, 4.69 mm d⁻¹, respectively. All other methods yielded ET0 values much higher than that obtained with Penman-Monteith method. Temesgen et al. (1999) indicated that high humidity conditions may result in an overestimation of ET0 by the Hargreaves method whereas the conditions with high wind speed may result in the underestimation of ET0. Reference evapo-transpiration calculated by Thorthwaite method was found to be consistently higher since from the 1st week after transplanting till the end of the plant growth period as temperature is the only input parameter available. However, the differences in the ET0 estimates using these methods provided a significant range of uncertainty (Othoman et al., 2006). Reference evapo-transpiration by Samini Hargreaves equation gave over-estimation during the initial growing period.

![Fig. 2 : Reference ET0 by penman monteith method and crop evapo-transpiration of capsicum (2013)](image-url)
agreement was observed between Penman Monteith and Blaney Criddle and Net solar radiation methods followed by other methods. The values of $R^2$ suggested that Blaney Criddle Method and Net radiation Method for estimation of $E_{T_c}$ were similar to Penman Monteith Method in sub humid tropical climate during rabi season. The paired t-statistics have however reaffirmed that only Blaney-Criddle and Net Radiation methods were capable of estimating the $E_{T_o}$ which were comparable to Penman-Monteith equation. Hence, it could be inferred that with the availability of temperature and radiation data in this hilly region Blaney-Criddle and Net radiation methods were applicable for estimating the $E_{T_o}$ with some degrees of accuracy.

### Table 4 : Regression statistics between penman monteith and different methods of $E_{T_o}$ estimation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>MPM</th>
<th>HE</th>
<th>SHE</th>
<th>TE</th>
<th>SRM</th>
<th>NRM</th>
<th>BCM</th>
<th>RM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression line slope (m)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression line intercept (c)</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of determination ($R^2$)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily t-test value ($p = 0.05$) n=84</td>
<td>38.30</td>
<td>29.10</td>
<td>21.30</td>
<td>23.80</td>
<td>-53.60</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>18.30</td>
<td>32.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Crop evapo-transpiration

The net solar radiation during the growing season was 774.96 MJm$^{-2}$. The values of $E_{T_c}$ were varying from 1.11 mm to 3.12 mm d$^{-1}$. The average weekly $E_{T_c}$ (mm d$^{-1}$) of capsicum increased from 0.67 to 1.89 mm d$^{-1}$ during 1-6$^{th}$ WAT and thereafter decreased to 0.97 mm d$^{-1}$. The highest values of weekly average $E_{T_c}$ i.e. 1.89 was obtained during the period of maximum vegetative growth (6 WAT). The crop evapotranspiration took place right from the first week after transplanting which gradually increased till the crop entered into reproductive stage (6th to 9th week after transplanting). Towards the end, the crop canopy started wilting due to very low temperature during December upto 7°C. There was gradual reduction in $E_{T_c}$ from 1.82 to 0.97 mm d$^{-1}$ during 9-12$^{th}$ WAT. The total seasonal $E_{T_c}$ during the cropping season was 114.81 mm. The weekly average $E_{T_c}$ as calculated by lysimeter are given in Table 5.

### Table 5 : Average weekly $E_{T_c}$ by lysimeter for Capsicum (mm d$^{-1}$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_{T_c}$</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Crop coefficient

Crop coefficient ($k_c$) values of capsicum was obtained from crop evapo-transpiration measured by lysimeter divided by reference ET calculated by different methods.

In the present experiment, $k_c$ values were estimated on daily and weekly basis. To generate $k_c$ curves, $k_c$ values for the entire crop duration calculated and expressed in terms of three stages of growth namely $k_c$ ini (transplanting to flowering), $k_c$ mid (flowering to crop development), $k_c$ end (crop development to harvesting).
Table 6 : Crop coefficient (k_c) values for capsicum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>PMM</th>
<th>MPM</th>
<th>HE</th>
<th>SHE</th>
<th>TE</th>
<th>SRM</th>
<th>NRM</th>
<th>BCM</th>
<th>RM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15.10.13</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.10.13</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.10.13</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.11.13</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.11.13</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.11.13</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.11.13</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.12.13</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.12.13</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.12.13</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.12.13</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.13</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results revealed that average weekly k_c values at different stages of growth vary at different magnitude. Crop coefficients increased from 0.20 to 0.67 based on Penman Monteith method, 0.10 to 0.38 (Modified Penman Method), 0.07 to 0.30 (Hargreaves Method), 0.08 to 0.33 (Samini Hargreaves Method), 0.06 to 0.09 (Thornthwaite Method), 0.60 to 4.47 (Solar Radiation Method), 0.14 to 0.50 (Net Radiation Method), 0.14 to 0.50 (Blaney Criddle Method), 0.50 to 0.21 (Radiation Method), respectively. During the first growth stage which covered the period from transplanting to the end of the 3rd week after transplanting (WAT), k_c value was 0.33 considered as k_c ini for capsicum. During the crop development stage (4 - 10th WAT), k_c value increased to 0.67 (k_c mid) and then decreased to 0.30 (k_c end). The maximum crop coefficient of 0.67 and 4.47 by Penman Monteith method and Solar radiation method were calculated during 10th and 11th WAT, respectively.

The computed k_c values by Penman Monteith method during initial, mid and end stage were 0.33, 0.67 and 0.30 respectively and these values estimated by Net Radiation method and Blaney Criddle methods were 0.31, 0.50 and 0.29 and 0.31, 0.50 and 0.28 in respective stages. The estimated k_c values calculated by Penman Monteith Method and Net Radiation method and Blaney Criddle methods during all the stages were closer to the values. The k_c values during the crop growth stage increased slowly after certain period of time period. Crop coefficient increased rapidly from 0.20 to 0.33, 0.33 to .64 and 0.64 to 0.30 by Penman Monteith method in capsicum season in which crop development stage starting from 3rd to 7th WAT (Fig. 5). The maximum values of crop coefficients were also estimated during the 7th week after transplanting mainly because of the higher canopy.
Results revealed that average weekly $k_c$ values at different stages of growth vary at different magnitude. Crop coefficients increased from 0.20 to 0.67 based on Penman Monteith method, 0.10 to 0.38 (Modified Penman Method), 0.07 to 0.30 (Hargreaves Method), 0.08 to 0.33 (Samini Hargreaves Method), 0.06 to 0.09 (Thornthwaite Method), 0.60 to 4.47 (Solar Radiation Method), 0.14 to 0.50 (Net Radiation Method), 0.14 to 0.50 (Blaney Criddle Method), 0.50 to 0.21 (Radiation Method), respectively. During the first growth stage which covered the period from transplanting to the end of the 3rd week after transplanting (WAT), $k_c$ value was 0.33 considered as $k_c$ ini for capsicum. During the crop development stage (4 - 10th WAT), $k_c$ value increased to 0.67 ($k_c$ mid) and then decreased to 0.30 ($k_c$ end). The maximum crop coefficient of 0.67 and 4.47 by Penman Monteith method and Solar radiation method were calculated during 10th and 11th WAT, respectively.

The computed $k_c$ values by Penman Monteith method during initial, mid and end stage were 0.33, 0.67 and 0.30 respectively and these values estimated by Net Radiation method and Blaney Criddle methods were 0.31, 0.50 and 0.29 and 0.31, 0.50 and 0.28 in respective stages. The estimated $k_c$ values calculated by Penman Monteith Method and Net Radiation method and Blaney Criddle methods during all the stages were closer to the values. The $k_c$ values during the crop growth stage increased slowly after certain period of time period. Crop coefficient increased rapidly from 0.20 to 0.33, 0.33 to .64 and 0.64 to 0.30 by Penman Monteith method in capsicum season in which crop development stage starting from 3rd to 7th WAT (Fig. 5). The maximum values of crop coefficients were also estimated during the 7th week after transplanting mainly because of the higher canopy.

Fig. 3 : Comparison of daily $k_c$ values by eight different methods with penman monteith method for capsicum (n=84)
Conclusions

Overall results indicate that some of the simpler empirical equations compared reasonably well with the Penman Monteith method while several other methods produced ET₀ estimates which significantly differ from those obtained by Penman Monteith method. Based on regression, among all the methods Blaney Criddle and Net Radiation method give better result. The difference between ET and ET₀ by different methods during initial and final stage of capsicum proved that ET₀ increased more than ET. But in middle stages, ET₀ decreased more than ET₀ in all the methods except Solar Radiation method. It is due to increased foliage in the middle stage, the computed values of ET₀ were more than ET₀.

The kc, ini, kc, mid and kc, end values were 0.33, 0.64 and 0.3, respectively which were lower than the standard k₀ values as reported by the FAO-56 Penman-Monteith method (Doorenbos and Kassam, 1979; Doorenbos and Pruitt,1977; Pruitt,1986; Wright,1981,1982) for similar crops. This might be due to crop variety and type of crop in the present experiment.
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